App. F. IX-4

1928. (Mysore)

File No. 38-28

Previous References
Mys 339-21-1 2

Serial Nos. 1—2

Later References
Mys 38-28-3-8
1. 196.38-C1-11
214.60-1-8

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for September 1928

SUBJECT

Levy of 5% Contribution by certain Mys. Institutions to the Mys Exhibition Funds

---

G.O. No 554-5
dated 8.9.28

To the Mys. Comm Comptroller

Reference

Ex

G. R. S. III—1] 1928 - 29

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

NOTES.

File No. 38-28

FILE HEADING.

Levy of contribution on the income of the following Matts for the Mysore Estabt Fund
1) Sri Parakala Matt
2) " Swadaranya Matt
3) " Ahobila Matt

From

The Mysore Court

No. 664 of 22-23. Dated 2-8-28 Received

Diary No. 193	Enclos. -	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the above Mathas should be subjected to the levy of 5 p.c. contribution to the Mysore Estabt Fund, ~~as the~~ and that in the case of all matts subjected to the payment of the percentage levy, should not, under normal conditions, be put to the necessity of maintaining a separate estabt and from the Matt funds -

NOTES AND ORDERS.

S A copy of G. O. No 1220 21/Mys 341-26-6 of 31-1-28 re levy of contributions to the Mys. Estabt Fund is put up for ref -

2 In this order, Govt have approved of the recommendation of the Mys Court to the levy of 5 p.c. contribution on the income

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1881—GPB—30,000—1-8-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. (Rev) File No: 22 of 192 5.

Serial No.

of all Mutts, whose properties are under Govt management.

2 The properties of the Sri Parakal Mutt were taken under Govt management under G.O. No. 439 72/ Mny III-25-4 926-10-25 a copy of which is put up for refee -

The Mny: Comr does not agree with the L C. in his view that the Matt is not liable for the levy of contribution to the Mny: Roads Fund, because the work of the Matt is being attended to in the Local Section of his office and states that it stands on all fours with similar Mny: institutions such as the Sri Vijayaraya Mutt etc now under Govt management & that there is no valid reason for exemption of the Matt from the percentage levy. If it has to be exempted as a special case, he states that special orders of Govt are necessary -

This motion does not find a place in the leaf under J. Narasimha.

3 With regard to Sivaganga Mutt, an proposed annual contribution of Rs 538 is to be levied on the income of Rs 10716 of the Matt, as fixed in the private Bank of income & expenditure of the Matt

4 In the case of the Sri Avani [illegible]

Mny. 7 339-21 is put up. When the reserve for unforeseen expenditure is Rs 178, it is not known how the M.C. proposes to levy Rs 538. Besides, it is necessary to know how much of the loan has been repaid -

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-23

193

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mer File No. 38 of 1928.-2

Serial No.

a contribution of Rs 150/- is proposed to be levied and this is provided for in the scale of income & expenditure of the Matt sanctd tentatively for one year in G.O. No. 2612/Mis/14-28 dt. 2.8.28.

5 Points for orders are :-

1) Levy of contribution at 5% on the income of the Sri Parakala Matt, Sri Sivaganga Matt & Sri Ahobila Matt -

2) The work relating to the Sri Parakala Matt to be done in the Mis. section of the Dist. office instead of in the Loans section, and Estabt being allowed, if necessary

3) In the case of all mutts subjected to percentage levy, no separate Estabt should, under normal conditions be maintained at the cost of the Matt

4) Estabt maintained at the cost of the Matt for internal management and for collection of heavy arrears etc will have to be continued -

Submd. for orders -

7.8.28 (Sd)

6 The mis. enquiries asked to proceed as per the letter referred to in regarding Parakala Matt

7 This does not require any sanction

(Illegible)

10/8

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—80,000—18-4-28

193

4

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 38 of 1928

Serial No.

1 AUG. 1928 No. 1039

1 The Mug comm's opinion and proposals are all sound - They may be approved - (There is no justification to show special consideration to any individual) -

1st member)

4
10 8 28

M

9 Dagpur
Orders
13.8.28

4

10 Dept Plan

M
1978

11 Draft is forwarded.

SD - 12 Revised draft is forwarded -

11/8
14/8/28

13 - In the scale of Receipts & Expenditure of the Sivaganga mutt, the net or actual Receipts are calculated to be Rs 8173 and the contribution of levy at 5% on this amount, will be Rs Rs 408. The M.C. has taken the gross income of Jambukandi of Rs 10746 for calculation of percentage levy - In Pattukkottai also the same was prepared It is for orders, as to which should be adopted Besides, it has already been noted supra that the scale of expenditure does not provide for this levy, and it is not understood how the M.C will be able to get this amount unless the scale of expenditure is revised)

11) The Calculation has perhaps to be made on the actual income - In the order of 17/10/27 the calculation is limited to the average income of 3 years

M

15 I don't see the M.C. quite clearly for the credit

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 25 AUG. 1928 No. 1929

SD 17.8.28

14 An enquiry may perhaps be made before the order issues.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

16 The M.C's letter may be read in my time and the Govt. may kindly say that the M.C's recommendation is approved - Please) I do not think this is necessary

M
25/8

M
25/8

192

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 38 of 192 8

Serial No.	
17	Draft, as directed, which had been put up previously, is resubd. for approval. SD 27.3.28 Mus Mus
18	
19	
2	To, Mus Comr & Comptr No 554-5 dt 8 9 28 Sanction to the scheme is proposed

1963 28/8

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

6

M.C. 13]

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
2 AUG. 1928
No. 1

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore...31st...J...u...l...y.....192 8

2nd Reminder

No. 664
22-23.

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

DEW SECRETARIAT
3 AUG 1928
MYSORE
D193

Muz 38-28-1

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No.1220-21/Muz.341-26-6 dated the 31st January 1928 approving this office recommendation to the levy of 5% contribution on the income of Matts, the properties of which are under Government management, I have the honor to state that out of the several Matts now under Government management the following were not included in the list of institutions subject to the levy and apperded to the above order.

1. Sri Parakala Matt
2. Sri Sivaganga Matt
3. Sri Avani Matt

2. As regards Sri Parakala Matt the Deputy Commissioner Mysore - District who was asked to intimate the gross income of the Matt with a view to calculate the amount of contribution states that the Matt cannot be said to be under Government management in the Muzrai Department and therefore it is not liable for the levy of contribution to Muzrai Establishment fund because the work of this Matt is being --- attended to in the Loans Section of his office, a proportionate cost of which is being recovered from the funds of the institution while the special estalishment entertained in the Taluk is being paid ----- entirely from Matt funds.

3. This Matt was taken under Government management under Sec.25 of the Muzrai Regulation in accordance with Government order No 489-92/ 111-25-4 dated the 26th October 1925 and for the sake of convenience th realizations are being credited to "other deposits. Private Estates under Government for other purposes."

4. The mere fact that the accounts of income and expenditure are being maintained in the Loans section does not alter the circumstance that the matt is a Muzrai institution and its properties are under --- Government management. From this it will be seen that the reason -- adduced for exempting the Sri Parakala Matt from the operation of the percertage contribution is not convincing. This Matt stands on all fours with similar Muzrai irstitutions such as the Vyasarayaswami Matt etc. now under Government management and therefore there is no valid - reason for exemption of the Sri Parakala Matt from the percentage levy The work pertaining to the Matt may be done in the Muzrai section of the District office, as it ought to be, instead of in the loans section. In case this makes work in the Muzrai Section heavy the Deputy Commissioner may ask for additional staff, the cost of which will be met from the Muzrai Establishment fund.

If an account of the close association of this Matt with the Royal House it is proposed to show some special consideration, the --- levy of the contribution will have to be waived by a special order -- of Government or otherwise the principles upon which contribution has been levied apply to it.

5. The cost of the special staff entertained in the Taluk will be met from the Matt funds as this establishment is mainly intended for the collection of the heavy arrears, which had accumulated when the - villages were being managed by the Matt. The normal work pertaining to the management of the Matt villages will be attended to by the -- Taluk staff.

6. The amount to be levied will be determined after knowing the approximate gross income.

7. As regards the Sri Sivaganga Matt which is under Government management an annual contribution of Rs.538 has to be levied, calculating

on an income of Rs.10746/- taken into account in Government Order --- No.3246-8/M.F.339-21-2 dated 4-1-22 fixing the scale of income and -- expenditure of this institution. The Matt clerk on Rs.25/- per --- mensem entertained in this office will be paid from the Muzrai ------ Establishment Fund.

8. The Sri Avani Matt is under Government management from 1925. A scale of income and expenditure has been submitted to Government for approval. The sum of Rs.150/- provided therein for establishment --- fund will be recovered annually from the matt funds from the year ---- 26-27 and credited to Muzrai Establishment Fund.

9. I request that kind sanction may be accorded to the above proposals.

10. In this connection, I beg to submit that in the case of all matts subjected to the payment of the percentage levy for the Establishment fund, it would not be proper to maintain under normal conditions a separate establishment paid from the matt funds as this would mean a -- double levy.

11. In the case of some matters there seems to be a separate establishment maintained and paid from the matt funds. The necessity for this will have to be examined and if it is solely in connection with the --- carrying on of the normal work connected with the management of these - matters and not in any way relating to their irternal management and to other extraordinary circumstance, such as collection of heavy arrears -- etc., the same will have to be continued the charges being paid from Establishment Fund.

12. I request that the approval of Government to the above general - principle may kindly be communicated early.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Thomas for 2/8/28
Assistant Commissioner.
For Muzrai Commissioner
(Absent from station.)

9

G.R..S.VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 193

Section Muz

File No. 38-28

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Muz)

, *the* 192

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 8-9-28

Signed by. Sd/- T.K. Somanna

Read— Cy. C. 1220-21/Muz 341-26-6 & 31-1-28 approving of the recommendation of the Muzrai Court in the matter of the levy of contribution from Muzrai institutions ~~for the~~ for the Muzrai Establishment Fund

2) Letter no. 664 of 22 23 & 2-8-28 from the Muz. Court in Mysore, soliciting Order Thereon No.

~~orders~~ in the matter of Levy of contribution from certain Matts under Govt management.

(Codes ~~read~~ typed in full)

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ? Sanction to certain proposals

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

AG

RG

11/9

S'S'4-S-

Order:- The proposals of the ~~Govt agree with the Government~~ Mem: Power ~~with this opinion~~ are ~~and the proposals are according~~ ~~by Govt~~ approved.

29/8

W/7/8 Secretary
To Deptt

To

The Mem: Power in Mysore
" Comptr to Govt

8-9-18
14-8-18 Rcvd.
[illegible]
14/8/18 27/8

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Government order No. 1220-21/Muz.341-26-6 dated 31st January 1928 approving of the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore in the matter of the levy of contribution from the several classes of Muzrai Institutions for the Muzrai Establishment Fund.

2. Letter No. 664 of 1922-23 dated 2nd August 1928 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore soliciting sanction to certain proposals in the matter of levy of contribution from certain Mutts under Government management:

"With reference to Government Order No. 1220-21/Muz.341-26-6 dated the 31st January 1928 approving this Office recommendation to the levy of 5% contribution on the income of Mutts, the properties of which are under Government management, I have the honor to state that out of the several Mutts now under Government management the following were not included in the list of institutions subject to the levy and appended to the above order.

1. Sri Parakala Mutt
2. Sri Sivaganga Mutt
3. Sri Avani Mutt

2. As regards Sri Parakala Mutt the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District who was asked to intimate the gross income of the Mutt with a view to calculate the amount of contribution states that the Mutt cannot be said to be under Government management in the Muzrai Department and therefore it is not liable for the levy of contribution to Muzrai Establishment and Fund because the work of this Mutt is being attended to in the Loans Section of our Office, a proportionate cost of which is being recovered from the funds of the institution while the special establishment entertained in the Taluk is being paid entirely from Mutt funds.

3. This Mutt was taken under Government management under Sec. 25 of the Muzrai Regulation in accordance with Government Order No. 489-92/Muz.111-25-4 dated the 26th October 1925 and for the sake of convenience the realisations are being credited to "other deposits"

Private Estates under Government for other purposes."

4. The mere fact that the accounts of income and expenditure are being maintained in the Loans Section does not alter the circumstance that the Mutt is a Muzrai Institution and its properties are under Government management. From this it will be seen that the reason adduced for exempting the Sri Parakala Mutt from the operation of the percentage contribution is not convincing. His Mutt stands on all fours with similar Muzrai Institutions such as the Vyasarayaswami Mutt &c., now under Government management and therefore there is no valid reason for exemption of the Sri Parakala Mutt from the percentage levy. The work pertaining to the Mutt may be done in the Muzrai Section of the District Office, as it ought to be, instead of, in the loans section. In case this makes work in the Muzrai Section heavy the Deputy Commissioner may ask for additional staff, the cost of which will be met from the Muzrai Establishment Fund.

If on account of the close association of this Mutt with the Royal House it is proposed to show some special consideration, the levy of the contribution will have to be waived by a special order of Government or otherwise the principles upon which contribution has been levied apply to it.

5. The cost of the special staff entertained in the Taluk will be met from the Mutt Funds as this establishment is mainly intended for the collection of the heavy arrears, which had accumulated when the villages were being managed by the Mutt. The normal work pertaining to the management of the Mutt villages will be attended to by the Taluk staff.

6. The amount to be levied will be determined after knowing the approximate gross income.

7. As regards the Sri Sivaganga Mutt which is under Government management an annual contribution of Rs. 538/- has to be levied, calculating on an income of Rs. 10746/- taken into account in Government order No. 3246-8/M-F.339-21-2 dated 4th January 1922 fixing t

cc

scale of income and expenditure of this institution. The Mutt Clerk on Rs. 25/- per mensem entertained in this office will be paid from the Muzrai Establishment Fund.

8. The Sri Avani Mutt is under Government management from 1925. A scale of income and expenditure has been submitted to Government for approval. The sum of Rs. 150/- provided therein for establishment fund will be recovered annually from the Mutt Funds from the year 1926-27 and credited to Muzrai Establishment Fund.

9. I request that kind sanction may be accorded to the above proposals.

10. In this connection, I beg to submit that in the case of all Mutts subjected to the payment of the percentage levy for the Establishment Fund, it would not be proper to maintain under normal conditions a separate establishment paid from the Mutt Funds as this would mean a double levy.

11. In the case of some ~~xxxxx~~ Mutts there seems to be a separate establishment maintained and paid from the Mutt Funds. The necessity for this will have to be examined and if it is solely in connection with the carrying on of the normal work connected with the management and to other extraordinary circumstance, such as, collection of heavy arrears &c., the same will have to be continued the charges being paid from Establishment Fund.

These matters and not in any way relating to their internal management

12. I request that the approval of Government to the above general principle may kindly be communicated early."

Order No.

Dated, Bangalore, the 4th September 1928.

The proposals of the Muzrai Commissioner are approved.

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.